

**WASHTENAW COUNTY
COMMUNITY PRIORITY FUND PROPOSAL REVIEW COMMUNITY
REVIEW BOARD SCORING RUBRIC**

REVIEWER NAME: _____

PROPOSAL TITLE: _____

TOTAL SCORE (complete this once scoring rubric is completed): _____

RECOMMENDATION: _____

1. FULL FUNDING _____
2. PARTIAL FUNDING _____
3. DO NOT FUND, PROVIDE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE _____
4. DO NOT RECOMMEND _____

SCORING RUBRIC COMMUNITY PRIORITY FUND PROPOSAL REVIEW

Background and Notes

- **The Community Priority Fund (CPF) will fund opportunities in the following categories**
 - **Community Violence Interventions:** Evidence-based community violence intervention programs to prevent violence and mitigate the increase in violence during the pandemic.
 - **Addressing Educational Disparities:** Evidence-based educational services and practices to address the academic needs of students, including tutoring, summer, after-school, and other extended learning and enrichment programs.
 - **Expanding Early Childhood Education:** Programs or services help develop new or expanded high-quality childcare to provide safe, supportive, and educational care for children
 - **Direct Assistance to Households Program:** Programs that provide assistance to households or populations facing negative economic impacts due to COVID-19, including, but not limited to food assistance, cash assistance, job training, and other basic needs.
 - **Addressing Housing and Homelessness:** Programs or services that address housing insecurity, lack of affordable housing, or homelessness, such as supportive housing, residential counseling, housing navigation, legal services, eviction prevention, and other housing needs.
- **Organizations that have not previously received funding from Washtenaw County will receive priority.**
- **The purpose of the Community Priority Fund is to increase equitable, community-informed allocations of ARPA funding; Support full, ecosystem development for organizations not previously eligible to contract with Washtenaw County; Develop equitable outcomes, reduce disparities, and prevent harm.**
- **Organizations and Businesses who are awarded funding will work closely with a county Evaluation and Data manager to ensure all requirements are met. Evaluator will also**

partner with grantees to develop metrics and outcomes that can build evaluation capacity for funded organizations

- Proposals prepared for review have been reviewed by county staff for minimum compliance with ARPA funding eligibility and for whether or not the specified target population aligns with the communities indicated in the funding allocation approved by the Board of Commissioners – namely alignment with areas of low opportunity per the [Washtenaw County Opportunity Index](#) or the [Center for Disease Control's Social Vulnerability Index](#). Staff have not reviewed submissions for merit, but have provided notes regarding information about the applicant or proposal which are known to the county and which may be considered relevant for consideration by the review board.

Scoring Sheet

Instructions: Review Team members are to review the applications and award points according to the extent to which the scoring criteria are met. A scale with suggested points has been created for each component.

SCORING COMPONENTS	CRITERIA FOR SCORING	AWARDED POINTS
<p>1: Area Impact– Scope of Work</p>	<p>This component is concerned with the applicant’s plan of work based upon the identified eligible areas of impact:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">These funds are targeted investments to service zip codes 48197/48198 and/or low opportunity areas per the Washtenaw County Opportunity Index, and/or areas with high social vulnerability scores per the CDC Social Vulnerability Index within Washtenaw County.</p> <p>Suggested scoring scale: For maximum points (10), the application must strongly demonstrate the following qualities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Comprehensive description of the applicant’s proposed plan for the area of impact, that aligns with the target investment criteria <p>8-9 points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The applicant’s proposed plan identifies the target area of investment that moderately aligns with the target area of investment criteria <p>4-7 points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The applicant’s proposed plan references the target area of investment but requires major revisions or additions for clarity of impact. <p>0-3 points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The applicant’s proposed plan demonstrates no clear alignment with the target area of impact. <p>Comments:</p>	<p>____/10</p>
<p>2: Eligible use categories</p>	<p>This component is concerned with the proposed use of funds under eligible use categories:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Community Violence Interventions</u>: Evidence-based community violence intervention programs to prevent violence and mitigate the increase in violence during the pandemic. • <u>Addressing Educational Disparities</u>: Evidence-based educational services and practices to address the academic needs of students, including tutoring, summer, after-school, and other extended learning and enrichment programs. 	<p>____/10</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Expanding Early Childhood Education</u>: Programs or services help develop new or expanded high-quality childcare to provide safe, supportive, and educational care for children • <u>Direct Assistance to Households Program</u>: Programs that provide assistance to households or populations facing negative economic impacts due to COVID-19, including, but not limited to food assistance, cash assistance, job training, and other basic needs. • <u>Addressing Housing and Homelessness</u>: Programs or services that address housing insecurity, lack of affordable housing, or homelessness, such as supportive housing, residential counseling, housing navigation, legal services, eviction prevention, and other housing needs. <p>Suggested scoring scale: For maximum points (10), the application must strongly demonstrate the following qualities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strong and clear articulation of the applicant’s proposed use of funds under eligible categories inclusive of a fully developed budget <p>7-9 points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Satisfactory articulation of the applicant’s proposed use of funds with a moderately developed budget <p>4-6 points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Misaligned or unclear articulation of the applicant’s proposed use of funds and/or minimal budget <p>0-3 points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incomplete and unclear articulation of the applicant’s proposed use of funds and/or no budget <p>Comments:</p>	
<p>3: Merit of Program of Initiative</p>	<p>This component is concerned with the strength of the applicant’s comprehensive application, inclusive of the proposal’s potential impact on equity</p> <p>Suggested scoring scale: For maximum points (10), the application must strongly demonstrate the following qualities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The applicant clearly identifies potential equity impact as intentionally designed as a component of the project. • The applicant clearly demonstrates that it has sufficient capacity to complete the proposal within the constraints of 	<p>____/10</p>

	<p>funding.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If it is a joint application, the role of each partner and the plan for maintaining an effective partnership are clearly described. <p>7-9 points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The applicant moderately identifies potential equity impact as intentionally designed as a component of the project. • The applicant moderately demonstrates that it has sufficient capacity to complete the proposal within the constraints of funding. • If it is a joint application, the role of each partner and the plan for maintaining an effective partnership are moderately described. <p>4-6 points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The applicant minimally identifies potential equity impact as intentionally designed as a component of the project. • The applicant minimally demonstrates that it has sufficient capacity to complete the proposal within the constraints of funding. • If it is a joint application, the role of each partner and the plan for maintaining an effective partnership are minimally described. <p>0-3 points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The applicant does not identify potential equity impact as intentionally designed as a component of the project. • The applicant does not demonstrate that it has sufficient capacity to complete the proposal within the constraints of funding. • If it is a joint application, the role of each partner and the plan for maintaining an effective partnership are unclear or poorly described. <p>Comments:</p>	
<p>Total: ___/30</p>	<p>Overall Comments:</p>	