<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Agenda Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3:00pm</td>
<td>1. Call to Order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:01pm</td>
<td>2. Welcome/Introductions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:03pm</td>
<td>3. Public Comment <em>(limited 2 minutes per person)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:05pm</td>
<td>4. Approval of Agenda <em>(ACTION)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:06pm</td>
<td>5. Approval of Minutes <em>(ACTION)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6. Discussion on Equity Research Plan <em>(ACTION)</em> - Morghan Williams Boydston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&amp; Lindsey Crandle, OCED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:08pm</td>
<td>7. Homelessness Annual Report – Morghan Williams Boydston, OCED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:20pm</td>
<td>8. CoC Systems Collaboration &amp; Efforts-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a. Built for Zero Update – Morghan Williams Boydston, OCED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Youth Homelessness Efforts – Krista Girty, Ozone House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. SAWC updates – Dan Kelly, Shelter Association of Washtenaw County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d. SIM/CHRE – Lauren Velez, Avalon Housing; Amanda Carlisle, WHA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e. Trump Proposal to Evict Undocumented Immigrants from Subsidized Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– Jemina Loveluck, Washtenaw Health Department; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amanda Carlisle, WHA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>f. Anticipated NOFA Dates for ESG &amp; COC – Morghan Williams Boydston, OCED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:10pm</td>
<td>9. Washtenaw Housing Alliance Update – Amanda Carlisle, WHA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:15pm</td>
<td>10. Policy Specialist Update – Morghan Williams Boydston, OCED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:20pm</td>
<td>11. Board Member Updates/Issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:25pm</td>
<td>12. Public Comment <em>(limited 2 minutes per person)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:30pm</td>
<td>13. Adjournment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONTINUUM OF CARE (CoC) BOARD
MARCH 20, 2019 | 3-5PM
LEARNING RESOURCE CENTER (4135 WASHTENAW AVE. ANN ARBOR)


Staff & Presenters: Mirada Jenkins (OCED), Morghan Williams (OCED), Andrew Kraemer (OCED), Lindsey Crandle (OCED), Wendy Carty-Saxon (Avalon)

Public: Doug Smith (Faith in Action), Barbara Niess-May (Safe House)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>AGENDA ITEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3:00pm</td>
<td>1. Call to Order J. Hieftje called the meeting to order at 3:10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:01pm</td>
<td>2. Welcome/Introductions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:03pm</td>
<td>3. Public Comment (limited 2 minutes per person) No public comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:05pm</td>
<td>4. Approval of Agenda (ACTION)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. Carlisle indicated that Agenda Item 8b should be removed from the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>agenda as was discussed previously by the Executive Committee. There are</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>no major updates on this item and it was mentioned in the WHA general</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>update.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R. Smith moved to approve the agenda. Z. Fosler seconded. The Agenda was</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>approved without any additional amendments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:06pm</td>
<td>5. Approval of Minutes (ACTION)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N. Adelman reported that she was listed as present for the January 16,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019 meeting but did not attend; she proposed that her name be removed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>from the attendees list.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R. Smith moved to approve the minutes. Z. Fosler seconded. The minutes were</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>approved with no other changes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:08pm</td>
<td>6. Dexter site Tax Credit Application (ACTION)- Wendy Carty-Saxton, Avalon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Housing (see handout in Board Packet) Avalon is seeking action from the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Washtenaw CoC in the form of a signed letter of support for the 2019 October</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tax credit application for 7651 Dan Hoey Way. This is a 2-acre site currently</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>owned by the city of Dexter. Of the 22 planned units, 11 will be dedicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>as supportive housing units for the CHP list, and rent for the other 11 set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>at a reasonable rate. Faith in Action will administer the supportive services,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>including an on-site food pantry.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R. Smith moved to approve. Z. Fosler seconded. The motion was carried with</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>no opposition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:20pm</td>
<td>7. Voucher 101- Mirada Jenkins, OCED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
J. Mogensen requested a comprehensive list of affordable housing buildings and units including their expiration date to aid in advocacy work.

3:45pm

8. CoC Systems Collaboration & Efforts-
   a. Built for Zero Update - Andrew Kraemer, OCED
      A. Kraemer has been meeting monthly with chronic homelessness and better leadership teams. The Veteran Team focuses on UIICH benchmarks and examining inflow into our system. Next week, a team will attend a learning session in Atlanta and receive the results from the Veteran Research Project that was submitted last year. This data was combined with five other communities, and our report will include an Improvement Project Playbook with ideas for veteran inflow pilot projects specific to Washtenaw County. The data will then go to the Urban Institute in Washington D.C. to be analyzed and disseminated outward from there. The CoC also submitted the application for the Chronic Inflow project as part of this initiative. Applications are approved on a first-come-first-serve basis, so we recognize A. Kraemer’s efforts in submitting the application within 24 hours of it being released.
   
   b. Homeless Response System Analysis - Amanda Carlisle, Washtenaw Housing Alliance (WHA)
      (removed from the Agenda)
   
   c. Youth Homelessness Efforts - Krista Girty, Ozone House
      Pam Cornell-Allen presented from Ozone House. The Youth Homelessness Committee held its first meeting on February 28th with great turnout results! Leadership disseminated the Youth Homelessness Report and discussed some community strengths and challenges found through the report process. The committee then dug deeper with a SWOT analysis, which the CoC is synthesizing to move the committee forward. Ozone and CoC are collaborating on forming a sub-committee to develop a plan on how to involve youth on the full committee. The Youth Homelessness Committee will meet again in May and continue to meet bi-monthly.
   
   d. Winter Shelter - Dan Kelly, Shelter Association of Washtenaw County
      (see handout in Board Packet) Winter Shelters will come to a close in 11 days, though SAWC is watching the weather and will extend this if needed. The rotating shelter will continue until April 9th. Highlights of this past season include several extreme winter weather conditions, and completion of the PIT count by volunteers in -14 degree weather! Several agencies were also recognized for stepping up their donations and fundraising for much-needed winter gear, including a sorority that raised over $2,000 from University of Michigan students by accepting $5 Venmo donations. This winter also saw a 23% increase in warming shelter utilization from last year and the highest utilization we have ever seen! We also saw a higher percent of older adults using shelter services. SAWC has also stocked a form of Narcan that is easier to administer, and had 6 active saves (not including cases addressed prior to an emergency).
   
   e. ESG eligible cost MSHDA policy feedback - Andrew Kraemer, OCED
      (see handout in Board Packet) MSHDA has proposed to increase areas of expense and increase expense limits in a way that allows us to serve
clients better. The CoC reached out to community providers for feedback on the changes and has drafted a letter to respond to MSHDA's request for feedback. Two minor changes were suggested by board members and noted by OCED to update.

This was not listed as an action item, but the response letter to MSHDA requires approval from the Board. R. Smith moved to approve the letter. Z. Fosler seconded. The motion carried with no additional changes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>9. Equity Findings - Morghan Williams &amp; Lindsey Crandle, OCED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(see handout in Board Packet) The CoC will continue to present research findings in future board meetings as an ongoing effort to follow Washtenaw County’s Equity Initiative.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4:10pm 10. Washtenaw Housing Alliance Update - Amanda Carlisle, WHA

The Lockwood Senior Housing initiative was voted down on Monday night, which is a difficult loss for our efforts towards affordable housing options in Washtenaw County. An Affordable Housing Resolution did pass Monday evening to explore additional housing opportunities, including the old fire station on Stadium Blvd.

WHA is currently drafting a budget for affordable housing and will present this to elective bodies in the county to ensure they are considering affordable housing issues in all decisions. For now, this project is being called “The Pledge” but it may change names in the future. This will involve putting together a list of advocacy efforts for the next few years, and will eventually become a one-pager with a draft expected in May. The Ann Arbor Human Services Board will advise it and pass it on to the Ann Arbor City Council to be the first to adopt it. It will eventually reach out to all municipalities. The enclosed handout includes current projects and goals. A. Carlisle requested feedback on these documents. A. Carlisle also reminded the Board of the importance of attending and representing their voice at city council meetings. An invitation was extended to put out displays and information outside the entrance to city council meetings as this is a good opportunity to speak with both city council members and some of the environmental activists. The mayor will also be working to reaffirm the millage issue in the near future, which is another advocacy opportunity for affordable housing.

The Point-In-Time (PIT) count debrief will occur on April 8

4:15pm 11. Policy Specialist Update - Morghan Williams, OCED

The OCED will conduct interviews over the next two weeks to fill the Policy Specialist position. Morghan is the contact person for the CoC while we work on filling the position. The CoC has already established a plan for the NOFA application and will be moving forward to ensure this moves forward without complications. We also have a call with a consultant for the Youth Demonstration Project next week.

4:20pm 12. Board Member Updates/Issues

April 16th is the Michigan Coalition Against Homelessness and there will be an Advocacy Day. This will include a training and free lunch for staff, clients, and community members, and allow individuals to speak with their representatives. Selected advocacy points relate to affordable housing and income discrimination.

The Health Department is preparing for a Community Health Assessment to build upon existing community engagement work. The assessment will utilize
the Working Mobilizing for Action using Planning and Partnership (MAPPS) process. The Health Department will need 12 – 16 members for a steering committee; an invitation was sent out for board members to apply themselves or nominate someone.

MIWorks! is planning for its Summer Youth Employment Program. They currently have 300 youth enrolled, and only 40 employment placements. A request was made for agencies to consider taking on a young person for the summer. If funding is a barrier, the Ann arbor Foundation may have funding available so that agencies can offer a young person employment for the summer. Ages for participation are 16 – 24 years; for more information or registration, visit www.domoresummer19.com

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4:25pm</td>
<td>13. Public Comment (limited 2 minutes per person)</td>
<td>No public comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:30pm</td>
<td>14. Adjournment</td>
<td>J. Hiefje adjourned the meeting at 4:28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Approval to Move Forward with the Racial Equity Research Plan

In alignment with the Washtenaw County Racial Equity Initiative, the CoC has developed a Racial Equity Research Plan to better understand structural racism within the housing and homelessness system, as well as surrounding structures in Washtenaw County. The Research Plan aims to understand how experiences differ for people of color going through our system by answering questions surrounding differences in pathways to homelessness, accessibility, gaps in services, and other barriers unique to people of color. This information will help the CoC to better-understand how to address structural racism within the homeless response system and provide a baseline to measure progress towards our racial equity goals.

The CoC would like Board input on the Research Plan, particularly surrounding the feasibility of focus groups and possibilities for participant reimbursement. The Research Plan will require heavy agency involvement in identifying participants for the focus groups. The Research Plan is attached.

Current Action Needed

Input and Approval of the Racial Equity Research Plan submitted in this packet that details the quantitative and qualitative methodology that will be used to conduct an evaluation in summer of 2019.

Motion

The CoC Board approves the submitted Racial Equity Research Plan to be implemented in summer 2019.
Racial Equity Exploratory Research Plan

Key Questions:

- What are the pathways into homelessness for people of color? Are they different for white people?
- What is the experience of a person of color in the Washtenaw’s homeless response system?
- What discrepancies exist for people of color in:
  - Wait times to service
  - Location accessibility
  - Quality of service
- What other barriers to service exist that we have not thought about? What experiences that are unique to people of color in homelessness are we not addressing or recognizing?
  - Ex. Medical system where people of color are less likely to get a disability determination
- What gaps in service exist that are specific to people of color?


Quantitative Data:

Quantitative analysis will include the following metrics:

- Days from assessment to placement
- Length of stay in shelters
- Length of stay in PSH or RRH
- Rent and income amounts for participants by race
- Portion of staff, administrators, and board who identify as racially or ethnically of color
- Referrals to services by race
- Map points of entry (zip code of last permanent address)
- Map where clients live, work, and spend their time (for outreach services)
- Causes of homelessness
- Equitable outcomes?
- Quality of housing for people of color matched with PSH
- Racial and ethnic makeup of PSH participants compared to total in HMIS

Qualitative Data – Focus Groups

Protocol

Participant Selection

Focus Group participants will be selected by recommendation from agencies with the understanding that agencies will attempt to identify a representative group of clients. Though this may decrease the representation of the participants, this method will assist us in identifying participants that will not be re-traumatized by participation in the focus group interviews.

Requirements for participant selection include:
• Out of crisis and reasonably stabilized
• Experience in the CES system
• A variety of clients from groups who have received and not yet received housing supports
• Indicate they are comfortable sharing experiences in a group setting
• Range that is racially and ethnically representative of the overall homeless population

Participant Reimbursement
A best practice, but needs further discussion. Ideas: gift cards instead of cash, $20/hour? Full meal available at focus group? This should be handed out in the beginning before the interview begins.

Group Structure
Focus Groups will be structured to allow for 4 – 6 participants per group and last 90 minutes. Given the nature of the equity questions, groups will be racially homogenous with an effort for gender homogeneity as well. The purpose is to encourage participation and reduce anxieties that might otherwise exist in discussing sensitive topics.

Facilitators
Facilitators will be assigned to groups of similar racial and ethnic background. The following individuals have been selected to facilitate the focus group discussions:

•

Interview Script
Introduction
Thank you for coming, we appreciate your efforts in making it here today. I want to start by telling you what we hope to gain from this session, then go over some guidelines for when we start asking questions.

We are working with several agencies in Washtenaw County to better understand racial and ethnic biases in our system. As people who have worked with these agencies, we hope to use your expertise based on your own experiences to create better programs and services for people in Washtenaw County experiencing homelessness.

This can be a sensitive topic, but I hope that you can share your experiences and opinions with us today. Everything you say here is confidential, and what we pass on to agencies will be de-identified so they will not know who said what. For our records, __________ will be taking notes and we will be recording this session, but again, these will only be used for our team to review back to later and will not be given directly to the agencies you work or worked with.

We wish to create a safe space for you to speak, so we have a few guidelines for our conversation today. To avoid confusion, we ask that only one person speak at a time. We also want to hear everyone’s voice today, so please share your voice, but also be considerate of other people’s voice as well. Though we want to hear if you disagree with something said, we also want this to be a respectful environment so please be considerate of others in your choice of words and tone of voice. If at any time you feel uncomfortable or attacked and I have not stepped in yet, please let me know so that I can mediate.
This is a reminder that you are not required to participate in this interview. If you feel uncomfortable or unsafe, I hope that you tell me so that I can address the situation, but you may also leave at any time. You do not need to give back the gift card if you decide to do so.

Are there any questions for me before we start?

Questions

Background/Demographic

- What race or ethnicity would you consider yourself? Could you tell me more about your background, like the neighborhood you grew up in? Did your parents own or rent? (ask about racial makeup of neighborhood, and any childhood homelessness)
- Could you tell me about your experiences with homelessness as an adult? How many times in your life have you experienced homelessness? For how long? What happened the most recent time that led you to be homeless?

Service Delivery

- Could you tell me about your experiences with _______ agency? What did you think about the location, hours, staff... etc.? Do you feel that the staff are welcoming to people of color? Were your specific needs considered?
- Did you or do you ever feel like you are treated differently by the staff because of your race or ethnicity? With regard to housing services? Have them been sensitive to barriers faced by people of color?
- Did or have you ever experienced or heard about racially-stigmatizing events or hate acts, from staff or other participants? What happened, and what was the result? Did staff take action? Did you feel comfortable reporting the incident? If you have not, would you feel comfortable reporting an incident? Were you ever told how to report an incident? Examples of hate acts:
  - Called names, insulted, or verbally assaulted because of your race/ethnicity?
  - Put down intellectually because of your race/ethnic origin?
  - Experienced hostile stares because of your race/ethnicity?
  - Been physically threatened or attached because of your race/ethnicity?
  - Been ignored after expressing your ideas and comments because of your race/ethnicity?
  - Been harassed or discriminated against because of your race/ethnicity?
  - Been denied a service because of your race/ethnicity?
  - Racist jokes, graffiti, or flyers
- Do you think more people of color should be hired as staff?

Assessment

- Tell me about when you were administered the VI-SPDAT assessment? (may need some explanation)
- How soon after you started working with the organization did you receive the assessment? Did you feel comfortable answering the questions with the person giving the assessment?
- Did you ever feel you needed to give false information on the VI-SPDAT? If you are comfortable sharing, what was the information you provided? Why did you feel you needed to give this information?
Organization Culture

- Have you ever attended any entertainment events with ________ agency? (i.e. movies, games, music). Did you feel they include a cultural variety of activities?
- Do you ever receive personal care products (toiletries) from this organization? Are there options appropriate for your race/ethnicity? Do you ever receive food from this organization? Is it sensitive to your racial, ethnic, or religious preferences?
- In group discussions, do people of color participate? Do you feel comfortable interacting with people in the organization of a different race or ethnicity?
- Do you feel that you need to minimize certain aspects of your racial or ethnic culture, like language or clothes, when you interact with staff? With other participants?

Suggestions

- Do you think that your involvement with ________ agency has made a difference in your life? What are these differences?
- What suggestions do you have for improving the racial/ethnic climate of this organization? What suggestions do you have for improving services for people of color? (may not ask this based on the previous responses from the group).

Additional prompts and follow-up questions:

- Could you say a little bit more about that experience?
- Could you explain more about ________?
- Has anyone had a similar or different experience?
- Could you give me an example of when that happened?
- Would anyone else like to comment here?

Conclusion

Thank you again for coming and sharing your experiences. We appreciate everything you told us and your willingness to speak with us today. From here we are going to review our discussion today and your suggestions to find ways that we can make this system more equitable for people experiencing homelessness.
THE WINTER SHELTER PROGRAM

The Shelter Association of Washtenaw County provides additional day-time and overnight emergency shelter to individuals experiencing homelessness in Washtenaw County during the winter months. The SAWC Winter Program also operates as an access point to key supportive services in efforts to achieve SAWC’s mission of ending homelessness. Supportive services offer individualized housing plans, intensive case management, and housing voucher sign up assistance. Additional basic need services include an onsite medical clinic, laundry, storage, showers, phone and mail access. These basic need services are designed to help those served preserve the dignity they deserve as they move from homelessness to housing.

The Winter Program entails a capacity of 113 emergency shelter beds; including 62 beds for men in the 1st floor cafeteria, 26 beds on the second floor for women, and 25 rotating shelter beds hosted in partnership with 20 partner congregations. The Winter Program also operates a day shelter that is provided off-site during the week at local congregations and onsite at the Delonis Center on weekends. The daytime warming accommodations are implemented by local organization, MISSION through the management of SAWC. During the season, daytime shelter rotates to five congregations that provide an array of client amenities: onsite laundry and shower facilities, clothing closets, snacks, and gifts for the clients. The rotating shelter was open until 4/8 during the 18-19 season.

NUMBERS AT A GLANCE** - DATA PENDING ADDITIONAL AUDIT

| 486 served | 16% increased utilization | 34% are 51+ in age | 12% age 18-24 |
| 9 lives saved* | Average of 81 served per night | 67% disabled | Highest Occupancy of 114 persons |

During the 18-19 season nearly 70% of those served have had a disabling condition. Over the last five warming shelter seasons, the disability rate has increased from 45% to 67% of those served. Although this increase may partially be attributed to increased data quality entered by SAWC staff, we do not believe that is the primary factor for the increase. Over the years, the SAWC has experienced an increase in the level of acuity of those served.

The Shelter Association has also seen a significant increase in the utilization rate compared to prior seasons. The Census Comparison graph notes the nightly guest onsite census in the Winter Shelter program this winter in comparison to the previous 17-18 season. The increase may be a result of SAWC policy changes to lower barriers. It may also be affected by increased staff training to aid in mediating guest conflicts, all centered around the goal of helping those served maintain access to shelter. Because of this increased utilization, in late January SAWC, through partnership with Washtenaw County, Ann Arbor Public Schools, Golden Limousine, AATA, Ann Arbor Area Community Foundation, and the support of local community members, opened a temporary off-site overnight warming shelter during the record winter storm. The total served during this time was the highest since the polar vortex of 2013-2014.

Additional trends during this winter shelter season included: a continuing high level of substance use needs, especially as it pertains to opioids amongst some guests; increasing numbers and acuity of youth; and 8 survivors of human trafficking seeking shelter. As a result of these increased needs, we have joined the University of Michigan associated pilot program Opt-In that aids in distributing naloxone directly to those experiencing homelessness, have strengthened partnership with Ozone House to aid homeless youth, and have worked to design agency protocols to work with those fleeing human trafficking. Please see the attached draft human trafficking service plan for more information. We are currently working to improve on these practices by connecting with the University of Michigan human trafficking clinic and other area organizations including Sanctum House and the Sparrow Project.
Shelter Association Draft Human Trafficking Service Coordination Plan

Initial Contact at Assessment:
Any any individual that reports being held against their will for any reason is assisted with the service coordination highlighted in this plan. Often our first substantive contact with a person is during the initial assessment. This assessment is a one on one with a social worker of approximately 45 minutes in length as part of the coordinated entry process. Housing Access of Washtenaw County creates a user profile and enters initial data prior to this assessment. Shelter staff conducts a lengthy HMIS assessment and also use this time to hear a person’s story, identify personal strengths and barriers to housing that need to be overcome.

Respond with Safe Space:
Rarely does an individual having experienced trafficking volunteer this information, but when someone does so immediate moves are made to see to their safety. If there is no available residential shelter bed, a mat is provided so they may safely stay on that floor until a bed becomes available. In this way they are prioritized for all shelter services and have a safe place to stay.

Building Trust:
However in the event that information is not volunteered, assessment staff may notice subtle cues that an individual experienced human trafficking. In these cases, case managers will inform other members of the clinical team who will observe an individual interacting with others. One member of the team is assigned as point and works to engage the individual as their case manager. Sometimes it takes a while for trust to develop and for an individual to share their experience. But at this point safe space is offered. We make special accommodations like saving meals and bringing them up to the residential floor so the individual does not have to risk coming into contact with their trafficker or any other triggers in the very public dining space.

Community Partnerships:
Once shelter staff know about the situation they partner with community agencies referring to entities including Safehouse which is uniquely qualified to assist women fleeing their trafficker. On occasion we have referred and transported women to shelters in other counties so that they can escape their trafficker. Once a woman was been stalked by her trafficker and we cooperated with the AAPD to have him arrested. We have also cooperated with the Wayne County Sheriff’s Office which has provided guidance in the shaping of our responses. Additionally, we have referred clients for services through the Sparrow Freedom Project in New Hudson; this is a recent partnership that has been developed over the last month. Also, we have referred individuals to the Sanctum House in Royal Oak as well when they needed specialized shelter services.
WHA Update to the Washtenaw County CoC Board  
May 15, 2019

WHA AND PARTNER UPDATES

- In April, WHA and our partners from the Office of Community & Economic Development (OCED), Ann Arbor Housing Commission (AAHC), and Avalon Housing participated in an Affordable Housing Convening with University of Michigan faculty and staff. The Convening was organized by the Ginsberg Center and aimed to share with faculty and staff how the university contributes to and can help solve the affordable housing problem we have in Washtenaw County. A follow-up discussion is being planned.

- Many of our CoC partners are working with The Center for Health Research and Transformation (CHRT) to submit an application to utilize State Innovation Model (SIM) housing funds, which are offered as part of the SIM/CHIR work. The proposal will include a request to fund modeling for the homeless system care as well as other potential projects, such as technical assistance to better implement homelessness diversion. The system modeling will help us project the homeless system's need for emergency shelter and transitional housing beds, rapid re-housing slots, and permanent housing units, and for which sub-populations.

- As a reminder, the Washtenaw County Point-In-Time (PIT) Count Community Debrief will be held on Monday, May 20th at 9am at Washtenaw Community College – Morris Lawrence Building.

ADVOCACY EFFORTS

There are several advocacy updates since we last met, described below. Please sign-up for our email newsletters (bottom of webpage) and "Like" us on Facebook in order to stay current with all of our advocacy efforts.

- **City of Ann Arbor denies Lockwood Development**: Ann Arbor City Council voted down the Lockwood development proposal, a senior housing development with 95 units, of which 41 units were proposed to be affordable housing, targeted to very-low income seniors, for a 99-year term.

- **City of Ann Arbor to review 10 city-owned Properties for Affordable Housing**: Ann Arbor City Council voted to undertake a review of 10 City-owned parcels of land to evaluate them for viability for affordable housing projects. City staff will return to City Council later this year after they have completed an analysis of the properties. Learn more here.

- **Brightdawn Village Development in Ann Arbor**: This is a development proposed for Burton Road in south east Ann Arbor that would provide 40-units of affordable housing (20 units at 60% AMI and 20
units at 80% AMI) out of a total of 160-units. The project will be on the June 3 City Council agenda.

- **City of Ann Arbor forming “Center of the City” Task Force:** The City is accepting applications from residents interested in serving on the Center of the City Task Force that will help create a vision for the city-owned Library Lot, the parking lot next to the Ann Arbor downtown library, which was the subject of the Prop A vote by residents in November 2018. This would be a great opportunity for an affordable housing advocate to be part of the visioning for this site. Applications are due May 31 – those who are interested can [apply on the City’s website here](#). [Learn more here](#).

- **Affordable Housing, Climate Action and Pedestrian Safety Reception:** On May 6, the WHA, Ann Arbor Climate Partnership and the Washtenaw Bicycling and Walking Coalition joined together to host a reception, before the Ann Arbor’s City Council meeting, that focused on engaging local policymakers in meaningful discussion about these three local priorities and discussing what it means to become a more sustainable and equitable Ann Arbor. We hope to do more collaboration with these entities in the future, as transportation, sustainability and affordable housing are all intersecting priorities.

- **City of Ypsilanti Affordable and Accessibility Committee holds Housing Forum:** The City of Ypsilanti Committee held a Housing Forum in early May to share data, analysis and initial recommendations on their work and to gather public input/feedback. The Housing Forum presentation can be [found here](#).